United States District Court

DISTRICT OF KANSAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		**	
		LORENZO L. LLOYD Defendant	Case Number: 09-mj-8062-01-DJW
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a))(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in	nprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f) (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or leading to the comparable state of the compara	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the the offense described in finding (1).	e (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. Alternative	mption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably y. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. e Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has of	committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required Alternative	ed by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will ired and the safety of the community. e Findings (B)
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
\boxtimes	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that			
		(See at	ttached pages)
defe Stat	lity s endar tes or	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or it shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private con	as Regarding Detention neral or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The issultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the on with a court proceeding.
Dated: June 3, 2009			s/ David J. Waxse
			Signature of Judicial Officer DAVID J. WAXSE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

There are a series of factors I have to consider to determine whether there are conditions of release that will assure your appearance and the safety of the community or any person in the community.

The first factor is the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence. You are charged with a firearm violation which is deemed to be a crime of violence, which is a negative.

The next factor is the weight of the evidence. There has been a probable cause determination, which is a negative.

The next factor is the history and characteristics of the person, which includes your physical and mental condition. There is nothing problematic about that, which is positive.

Family ties would be positive.

Employment would be negative.

Financial resources is unclear. You were able to post a \$50,000.00 bond and shortly after posting the bond you had \$700.00.

Length of residence in the community is positive.

Community ties are positive.

The next factor is past conduct, which includes history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings. You do not have a lot of convictions but you certainly have a lot of court proceedings. When you were released in February 2002 you violated conditions four or five times, which is a big problem.

The next factor is whether at the time of the current offense or arrest you were on probation, parole, or other release. It does not appear that you were.

Finally is the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person of the community that would posed by your release. Any time you possess Glock firearms when you are not supposed to have any firearm is clearly a danger to the community.

My conclusion is that there probably are conditions I could set as suggested by your counsel, but I do not have any confidence that you have any desire or ability to comply. According to the Report there are five warrants out of Kansas City, Missouri where you were arrested or given a ticket and released, and then never complied with the order to appear. I do not have any confidence that you would comply with any conditions I set, so you will remain detained pending further hearing.